

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

MISCELLANY.

ALLOWANCES TO FIDUCIARIES FOR BONDS OF SURETY COMPANIES—VA. CODE 1904, Sec. 179A.—The following copy of an order entered in the Chancery Court of the City of Richmond, July 29, 1896, will be of interest to bench and bar of the State:

"The court doth establish the following as a schedule or tariff of maximum allowances which will be made to executors, administrators, guardians, committees, curators, trustees, and receivers, who may be hereafter appointed by this court, or who may hereafter qualify as such before this court or the judge thereof or the clerk thereof by giving bond with sureties, in all cases in which the surety in such bonds shall be one or more of the surety companies mentioned in an Act of Assembly of Virginia approved March 5,1894, as amended and re-enacted by an Act approved February 6, 1896) Acts 1895-'96, ch. 248, p. 284) [Va. Code 1904, sec. 179a], viz.:

- On bonds in a penalty of one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) or under, a fee of ten dollars (\$10.00);
- On bonds in a penalty exceeding one thousand dollars (\$1,000.00) but not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), a fee of one per centum on the first one thousand dollars, and one-half of one per centum on each additional one thousand dollars, or fractional part thereof;
- On bonds in a penalty exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000.00), a fee of one-half of one per centum on the first ten thousand dollars, and one-fourth of one per centum on each additional one thousand dollars, or fractional part thereof.

And any commissioner of this court before whom shall come for settlement the accounts of any such executor, administrator, guardian, committee, curator, trustee, or receiver is hereby authorized and directed to allow in such accounts, as a charge against the estate or trust fund, any sum, not in excess of the aforesaid rates, which such fiduciary may have actually paid to any such company for becoming surety in such bond."

LIST OF VIRGINIA DECISIONS ANNOTATED IN THE AMERICAN DECISIONS.—In the December number, 1904 (10 Va. Law Reg. 736), we announced that we would, from time to time, publish lists of cases annotated in the American State Reports, Lawyers' Reports Annotated, and in the Virginia Law Register. In 10 Va. Law Reg. 749, will be found a list of such cases in American State Reports, Vols. 1 to 98, and on page 840 will be found the list continued through Vol. 99. On page 841 will be found a list of cases annotated in Lawyers' Reports Annotated, Vols. 1 to 64, and on page 1040 will be found a list annotated in the first nine volumes of the VIRGINIA LAW REGISTER, and the first 339 pages of Vol. 10.

We are now beginning with this issue the publication of Virginia cases annotated in the American Decisions. This list will be followed by those annotated in the American Reports. These lists are published in order that the practi-

tioner may note in his volumes of Virginia Reports references to the annotations. He may then in using his reports ascertain at a glance whether a case has been commented on in any of the works above enumerated. In the study of a case it is obviously of great value to know whether it has been annotated, and, if so, to avail oneself of the collection of similar cases found in the notes, as well as of the comments of the annotator. After completing the cases above referred to, we will begin to publish a list of such cases as we may find to be overruled by later decisions and by statutes.

Ross v. Norvell	1	Wash.	14,	1	Am.	Dec.	422
Hooe v. Oxley	1	"	19,	1	"	"	425
Kennon v. McRoberts	1	"	96,	1	"	"	428
Hoyle v. Young	1	"	150,	1	"	"	446-n
Pleasants v. Ross		"	156,	1	"	"	449
Clayborn v. Hill	1	"	177,	1	"	"	4 52
Granberry v. Granberry		"	246,	1	"	"	455
Shermer v. Shermer		"	266,	1	"	"	460
Buckner v. Smith	1	"	296,	1	ii	"	463
Dandridge v. Harris	1	"	326,	1	"	"	465
White v. Atkinson	2	"	94,	1	"	"	470
Schwartz v. Thomas	2	"	167,	1	"	"	579
Mackie v. Davis	2	"	219,	1	"	"	482
Warder v. Arell	2	"	282,	1	"	"	488
Commonwealth v. Swinney	1	Va. Cas.	146,		"	"	512
	1	"	164,	5	"	"	514-n
Commonwealth v. Morris	1	"	175,		. "	"	515
Baird v. Rice	1	Call	18,	1	"	4.6	497
Gibson v. Fristoe.	1	"	62,	1	"	"	502
Chichester v. Vass		"	83,	1	"	"	509
Chapman v. Turner	1	"	280,	1	"	"	514
Jollife v. Hite	1	"	301,	1	"	"	519
Pryor v. Adams	1	"	382,	1	"	"	5 3 3
Hunt v. Wilkinson	2	"	49,	1	"	"	534
Price v. Campbell	2	"	110,	1	"	"	535
McConnico v. Curzen		"	358,	1	"		540
Austin v. Richardson	3	"	201,	2	"	"	543
Blane v. Proudfit	3	"	207,	2	"	"	546
Read v. Payne	3	"	225,	2	"	"	550
Ross v. Overton	3	"	309,	2	"	"	552
Young v. Gregorie	3	"	446,	2	"		556
Commonwealth v. Posey	4	"	109,	2	"	4.6	560
White v. Jones	4	"	253,	2	"	"	564-n
Hooe v. Marquess		"	416,	2	"	"	570
Home v. Richards	4	"	441,	2	"	"	574
Mayo v. Carrington	4	"	472,	2	"	"	580
Pendleton v. Stewart		"	1,	2	"	"	583
Bedford v. Hickman	5	66	236,	2	"	"	590
Braxton v. Coleman	5	"	433,	2	"		592

	_			_		_	
Engle v. Burns						Dec.	
Brander v. Justices			548,		"	"	606
Fitzhu v. Love		"	5,		"	"	568-n
Jones v. Roberts		"	187,		"	"	57 6
Austin v. Winston			33,		"	"	583-n
Wigglesworth v. Steers		"	70,		66	"	602
Witherington v. McDonald	1	"	306,	3	"	"	603
Fisher v. Duncan	1	"	563,	3	"	"	605
Woodson v. Barrett	2	"	80,	3	"	"	612
Turpin v. Thomas	2	"	139,	3	"	"	615
Nelson v. Matthews	2		164,	3	"	"	620
Fitzhugh v. Anderson		"	289,	3	"	"	625
Upshaw v. Upshaw		"	380,	3	"	"	632
Quarles v. Littlepage	2	"	400,	3	"	"	637
Dew v. Judges		"	1,	3	"	"	639
Tabb v. Archer	3	"	398,	3	"	"	657
Nimmo v. Commonwealth	4	"	57,	4	"	"	488
Dabney v. Green	4	"	101,		"	"	503
Duval v. Bibb	4	"	113,		"	"	506
Lassly v. Fontaine	4	"	146,		"	"	510
Eppes v. Cole		"	161,		"	"	512
Currie v. Mutual Associated Society	4	"	315,		"	"	517
Brickhouse v. Hunter	4	"	363,		"	"	528
Chichester v. Vass	1	Munf.	98,		"	"	531
Alexander v. Greenup		• •	134,		"		541
Sutton v. Mandeville		"	407,	4	"	"	549
Austin v. Whitlock	1	"	487,		"	. 6	550
Kirtley v. Deck	_	"	10,		"	"	445
Clay v. Williams		"	105,		"	"	453
Roberts v. Stanton		"	129,	5	"	"	463
Carnagy v. Woodcock		"	234,	5	"	"	470
Coutts v. Greenhow		"	363,	5	"	"	472
Marks v. Morris	2	"	407,	5	"	"	481
Watkins v. Taylor	_	• •	424,	5	"	"	486-n
Bowles v. Bingham	2	44	442,	5	6.6	46	497
Armistead v. Dangerfield		"	20,	-	"	"	5 01
Stockton v. Cook	3		68,		"	"	504
Mason v. Williams	_	"	126,		"	"	505
Moseley v. Buck		"	232,	5	"	"	508
McCormack v. Obannon		44	484,		"	"	509
Rootes v. Wellford	4	"	215,		"	46	510
Buford v. Buford	4	"	241,		"	"	511
Parker v. Carter	4	44	273,		"	"	513
	4	"	303,		"	"	518
Bull v. Douglas		"	332,	6	"		519
Nelson v. Carrington		66	351,		"	46	526
Cook v. Darby		"	444,	6	"	"	529
(To be con			111,	U			J24
(10 de con	·	ucu j.					